

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY MAY 9, 2011

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE—2011–12 REGULAR SESSION

Assembly Constitutional Amendment

No. 5

Introduced by Assembly Member Portantino
(Coauthors: Assembly Members Davis, Fletcher, Huffman, and Solorio)
(Coauthor: Senator Wolk)

December 6, 2010

Assembly Constitutional Amendment No. 5—A resolution to propose to the people of the State of California an amendment to the Constitution of the State, by adding and repealing Section 8.5 of Article II thereof, by adding and repealing Section 8.5 of Article IV thereof, and by adding and repealing Section 1.2 of Article XVI thereof, relating to state finance.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

ACA 5, as amended, Portantino. State finance reform.

Existing provisions of the California Constitution provide that the electors may propose statutes or amendments to the California Constitution by initiative and approve or reject statutes by referendum. The California Constitution also provides that the Legislature may propose both amendments and revisions to the California Constitution to the electors, and may enact statutes by passing bills.

This measure would, until January 1, 2020, prohibit an initiative measure from being submitted to the electors or from having any effect if the initiative measure appropriates state funds for any purpose in an amount exceeding the amount appropriated for that purpose for the 2004–05 fiscal year by more than \$250,000 unless the measure provides

for additional state revenue or offsetting savings in a total amount that is not less than the amount of the appropriation.

This measure would, until January 1, 2020, provide that a statute, other than an urgency statute, is void if it appropriates state funds for any purpose in an amount exceeding the amount appropriated for that purpose for the 2004–05 fiscal year by more than \$250,000 unless the statute provides for additional state revenue or offsetting savings in a total amount that is not less than the amount of the appropriation.

This measure would also, until January 1, 2020, prohibit the Treasurer from offering for sale or issuing general obligation bonds unless the measure that authorized the sale or issuance of the bond provides for additional state revenue or offsetting savings in an amount necessary to repay the bond, including principal and interest payments. ~~This prohibition would encompass bonds from bond measures approved prior to the operative date of the prohibition.~~

Vote: $\frac{2}{3}$. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes.
State-mandated local program: no.

1 *Resolved by the Assembly, the Senate concurring,* That the
2 Legislature of the State of California at its 2011–12 Regular
3 Session commencing on the sixth day of December 2010,
4 two-thirds of the membership of each house concurring, hereby
5 proposes to the people of the State of California that the
6 Constitution of the State be amended as follows:

7 First—The people of the State of California find and declare all
8 of the following:

9 (a) During the current economic recession, California has
10 experienced a dramatic decline in revenues that has forced and is
11 forcing severe cutbacks in spending by the state government on
12 services and programs. The state now faces an estimated shortage
13 of \$25.4 billion for the 2011–12 fiscal year.

14 (b) The budget for the 2011–12 fiscal year is expected to include
15 a series of taxes and cuts triggered in part by the level of incoming
16 federal dollars and the performance of the state's economy.

17 (c) State services have been funded in past budgets through
18 gimmicks and borrowing that have served only to exacerbate our
19 state government fiscal crisis. In addition, state borrowing through
20 bond indebtedness has steadily increased over the past six years.
21 California bond borrowing has reached the point where the debt
22 load on the General Fund could, in the future, easily exceed 10

percent of the annual state budget. These fiscal practices are not sustainable and will lead only to further economic and fiscal crises.

(d) The Treasurer, in his State Debt Affordability Report issued in 2009, made the following observations to illustrate how debt payments will eat up increasingly larger chunks of the General Fund:

(1) The state in the 2010–11, 2011–12, and 2012–13 fiscal years will issue an estimated \$4.06 billion in additional bonds backed by the General Fund. Over the same period, for these additional bonds and bonds already sold by the state, the General Fund will have to pay a combined \$23.15 billion in debt service.

(2) As a percentage of General Fund revenues, the annual combined debt service payments will grow from 7.7 percent in the 2010–11 fiscal year to 8.81 percent in the 2012–13 fiscal year. Meanwhile, the General Fund over these three fiscal years will have a cumulative structural deficit of \$38 billion, according to estimates by the Department of Finance.

(3) Over the long term, from the current fiscal year through the 2027–28 fiscal year, the state will issue \$22.598 billion of General Fund-backed bonds. The combined debt service on these bonds and already sold bonds, over the same period, will total \$254.96 billion.

(4) The General Fund’s annual bond payments will grow from \$6.01 billion in the 2009–10 fiscal year to \$19.64 billion in the 2027–28 fiscal year. As a percentage of General Fund revenues, the annual debt burden will increase from 6.71 percent in the current year to 9.18 percent in the 2027–28 fiscal year. In between, from the 2014–15 fiscal year through the 2020–21 fiscal year, the debt service ratio will exceed 10 percent of General Fund revenues.

(e) The recession continues to take a terrible toll on California’s communities and families. High unemployment plagues most sectors and the housing market remains depressed. Family income has shrunk and consumer spending has dropped. All these factors, in turn, continue to erode the revenues of the state and local government. And that erosion compounds the economic stresses by fueling further reductions in jobs and public services at the very time when both are needed most.

(f) Because debt service is considered a fixed part of a state’s budget, credit analysts compare a state’s General Fund-supported debt service to its General Fund revenues as a measure of the state’s

1 fiscal flexibility. The Treasurer’s Debt Affordability Report issued
2 in October 2010 indicates that California’s ratio of debt service to
3 General Fund revenues was 6.69 percent in the 2009–10 fiscal
4 year, based on \$5.790 billion in general obligation, lease revenue,
5 and Proposition 1A Receivables debt service payments versus
6 \$86.521 billion in General Fund revenues for that fiscal year. This
7 ratio is projected to be 7.17 percent in the 2010–11 fiscal year,
8 based on \$6.558 billion in debt service payments versus \$91.451
9 billion in General Fund revenues as projected by the Department
10 of Finance.

11 (g) California’s level of debt to the total personal income of its
12 residents measures a borrower’s ability to repay its obligations
13 because it provides one indicator of a state’s ability to generate
14 revenues. This has been estimated to be at 5.6 percent. The debt
15 per capita measures residents’ average share of a state’s total
16 outstanding debt. The state’s debt per capita amounts to \$2,362.

17 (h) It is the intent of the people of the State of California to
18 adopt a process to require that, from 2010 until 2020, no statute
19 or initiative passed or bond issued in this state shall take effect
20 unless it is revenue neutral. The intent of this constitutional
21 amendment is to adopt a “pay as you go” or “PayGo” system, in
22 which any new statute or initiative passed that requires the
23 expenditure of moneys also include specified savings or revenue
24 sources that identify how the expenditures are to be made. If these
25 offsetting savings or revenue sources are not specified in the statute
26 or initiative it shall not become operative. The designated source
27 of revenue shall not include any moneys from the General Fund
28 or any other state fund unless the statute or initiative provides a
29 new and specified funding source from which new moneys will
30 be deposited into the General Fund or other state fund.

31 (i) The savings or revenue to fund any statute or initiative must
32 be clearly and specifically identified, and sufficient to cover the
33 expenditures and costs of the proposal.

34 (j) It is also the intent of the people of the State of California
35 that, during the time that this requirement applies, state government
36 shall be prohibited from issuing or selling any *general obligation*
37 ~~bond indebtedness~~ unless a specified repayment source, other than
38 the General Fund, has been identified to repay the proceeds of the
39 issued bond.

1 Second—That this act shall be known and may be cited as the
2 “PayGo” or “Pay as You Go” Fiscal Responsibility Act of 2011.

3 Third—That Section 8.5 is added to Article II thereof, to read:

4 SEC. 8.5. (a) Notwithstanding any other provision of this
5 article, an initiative measure that would appropriate state funds
6 for any purpose in an amount exceeding the amount appropriated
7 for that purpose for the 2004–05 fiscal year by more than two
8 hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$250,000) shall not be submitted
9 to the electors or have any effect unless the initiative measure
10 provides for additional state revenue or offsetting savings in a total
11 amount that is not less than the amount of the appropriation.

12 (b) An initiative measure that would authorize the issuance of
13 any general obligation bond shall not be submitted to the electors
14 or have any effect unless the measure provides for additional state
15 revenue or offsetting savings in a total amount that is not less than
16 the total state expense for the principal, interest, and related costs
17 that would result from the issuance of the bond.

18 (c) This section shall remain in effect until January 1, 2020, and
19 as of that date is repealed.

20 Fourth—That Section 8.5 is added to Article IV thereof, to read:

21 SEC. 8.5. (a) A statute, other than an urgency statute, that
22 appropriates state funds for any purpose in an amount exceeding
23 the amount appropriated for that purpose in the 2004–05 fiscal
24 year by more than two hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$250,000)
25 is void unless the statute provides for additional state revenue or
26 offsetting savings in a total amount that is not less than the amount
27 of the appropriation.

28 (b) This section shall remain in effect until January 1, 2020, and
29 as of that date is repealed.

30 Fifth—That Section 1.2 is added to Article XVI thereof, to read:

31 SEC. 1.2. (a) The Treasurer shall not offer for sale or issue
32 any general obligation bond, ~~including any bond that was~~
33 ~~authorized, but not sold or issued, prior to~~ *that is authorized after*
34 the operative date of this subdivision, unless the measure
35 authorizing the sale or issuance of the bond provides for additional
36 state revenue or offsetting savings in an amount necessary to repay
37 the bond, including principal and interest payments.

- 1 (b) This section shall remain in effect until January 1, 2020, and
- 2 as of that date is repealed.

O